

SUBMISSION REVIEW AND EVALUATION

Project Plan Budget Estimate:

TOTAL:	\$ 366,750.00
COLORADO Park:	200,000.00
VETERNS Park:	
SISTER CITIES Park:	92,750.00
FOOTPRINT Park:	74,000.00

Project Name: PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS (COLORADO, SISTER CITIES & FOOTPRINT PKS)

Project Number: **RFP #19-029**

OVERVIEW

	REQUIRED	NEEDED	REQUESTED					
RESPONSIVENESS	Understanding, receptiveness and conformity of the proposal/bid to the stated needs of the City, both in the time to complete the project and in the scope of services offered. The degree to which the proposal meets or exceeds the terms of the Request for Proposal/Request for Quote.			30%				
1								
2	Star Playground and Rocky Mountain Playground are the only vendors that made a theme. Star Playground has a unique theme with the Colorado items which are appropriate for Colorado Park. Star Playgrounds also has 2 unique play items with the 20 foot tall climbing net and the Diamond Spinner.							
3								
4								
5								
RESPONSIBILITY	Capabilities of the proposing firm and its experience in dealing with municipal governments in projects of similar size, scope and nature.			15%				
1								
2	I don't have any of the information these items.							
3								
4								
5								
PRICE/TERMS	The proposing firm's fee structure, based on the Services to be provided, should be cost based and must be fixed-firm (not to exceed) where possible, include volume discounts, payment discounts, other incentives and contain cost improvement/reductions over time. Terms should adhere to the City of Brighton's published Terms and Conditions.			25%				
1								
2	To my knowledge the Vendors will all meet questions 2 and 3 successfully. I ranked Star higher on question 1 as I feel the value of the products seems to be higher due to uniqueness.							
3								
4								
5								
RELIABILITY	Ability to consistently meet the stated needs and provide high quality products or services based upon the results of reference checks and past performance for other clients.			10%				

DOWN
TOP
UP
DOWN
TOP
UP
DOWN
TOP
UP

0.000	0.000	0.000	0.194	0.060	0.215	0.208	0.219	0.282
0.000	0.000	0.129	0.030	0.129	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133
0.000	0.000	0.183	0.050	0.167	0.188	0.208	0.225	
N/A	N/A							
0.090	0.020	0.093	0.093	0.093	0.093	0.093	0.093	

Play Power LT Farmington, Inc. c/o Recreation Plus
Rocky Mountain Recreation, Inc. (Option 2)
Rocky Mountain Recreation, Inc. (Option 1)
AtoZ Recreation
Churchich Recreation, LLC
STAR Playgrounds

SUBMISSION REVIEW AND EVALUATION

Project Plan Budget Estimate:

Project Name: PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS (COLORADO, SISTER CITIES & FOOTPRINT PKS)

Project Number: **RFP #19-029**

TOTAL:	\$ 366,750.00
COLORADO Park:	200,000.00
VETERNS Park:	
SISTER CITIES Park:	92,750.00
FOOTPRINT Park:	74,000.00


OVERVIEW

HISTORICAL CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

Assessment of the supplier's comprehensive service level and their ability to meet or exceed the expectations. Willingness to continue to utilize the supplier, their goods and services provided. Demonstrated ability to offer continued quality support despite unforeseen changes in demand, product or timelines; a willingness to work with the City of Brighton to overcome obstacles and through issues.

5%

- 1
- 2 My answers are based on the my experiece here at the City of Brighton. I have personally worked with 4 of the 5 vendors mainley purchasing replacement parts or having the vendor place componets on exsiting playgrounds.
- 3 Climbing structure is best amenity otherwise did not stand out much = Rec Plus/Play Power, & Option #2 is cheap & did not meet requirements = Rocky Mtn., & Option #1 is good theme but did not stand out comparing it to the others = Rocky Mtn., & Nice playground that a few of the amenities "catch your attention" but not the best compared to others = A to Z, & Great shade options, good amemities but theme not noticable. = Churchich, & Excellent theme and signage and climbing features, etc. Top choice - even the poured-in-place
- 4
- 5



Evaluators:

	Name:	Department:	Title:	Date:
Eval 1	Travis Haines	Parks & Recreation	Asst Director	Wed 23.Oct 2019
Eval 2	Troy Channell	Parks	Parks Supervisor	Mon 21.Oct 2019
Eval 3	Mark Heidt	P&R Dept.	Assist. Dir. Of P&R Dev.	Wed 23.Oct 2019
Eval 4	Gary Wardle	Parks and Recreation	Director Parks and Recreataat	Wed 23.Oct 2019
Eval 5				
	P&C	P&C	Procurement Admin	
	P&C	P&C	Procurement Specialist	Mon 30.Sep 2019

SUBMISSION REVIEW AND EVALUATION
PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS (COLORADO, SISTER CITIES & FOOTPRINT PARKS)
RFP #19-029

- UP**
- +** Not Answered
 - 5** Superior
 - 4** Very Good
 - 3** Good
 - 2** Poor
 - 1** Very Poor
 - 0** Not Observed