



# SUBMISSION REVIEW AND EVALUATION

Project Plan Budget Estimate:

Project Name: **PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS (VETERANS PK)**

Project Number: **RFP #19-029**

|                     |                      |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| TOTAL:              | <b>\$ 515,750.00</b> |
| COLORADO Park:      | <b>200,000.00</b>    |
| VETERNS Park:       | <b>149,000.00</b>    |
| SISTER CITIES Park: | <b>92,750.00</b>     |
| FOOTPRINT Park:     | <b>74,000.00</b>     |

## OVERVIEW

|                       | REQUIRED   | NEEDED | REQUESTED |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>RESPONSIVENESS</b> | Understanding, receptiveness and conformity of the proposal/bid to the stated needs of the City, both in the time to complete the project and in the scope of services offered. The degree to which the proposal meets or exceeds the terms of the Request for Proposal/Request for Quote.   |        |           | <b>30%</b> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                     | A to Z , Churchich and Rocky Mountain are the only vendors to have what it would think of as a "iconic Slide" Rocky Mountain does not have a swing set. Star playground has the best theme however I don't feel like the slide is iconic. Churchich and A to Z both have a Red, White and Blue theme fitting the Park but are both subtle.           |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                     | Rocky Mountain Option 1 has no swings requested in RFP   |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>RESPONSIBILITY</b> | Capabilities of the proposing firm and its experience in dealing with municipal governments in projects of similar size, scope and nature.   |        |           | <b>15%</b> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                     | I don't have the information to judge these areas.   |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                     | Burk hs the most Iconic Playground   |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>PRICE/TERMS</b>    | The proposing firm's fee structure, based on the Services to be provided, should be cost based and must be fixed-firm (not to exceed) where possible, include volume discounts, payment discounts, other incentives and contain cost improvement/reductions over time. Terms should adhere to the City of Brighton's published Terms and Conditions. |        |           | <b>25%</b> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                     | I think the price is fair for all vendors for the what they are proposing I think the higher price playgrounds reflect a better playground with more play value for the users.   |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                     |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>RELIABILITY</b>    | Ability to consistently meet the stated needs and provide high quality products or services based upon the results of reference checks and past performance for other clients.   |        |           | <b>10%</b> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       |  |        |           |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |

DOWN

TOP

UP

DOWN

TOP

UP

DOWN

TOP

UP

|       |       |       |  |  |  |                 |                           |                  |
|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.191  | 0.164                                      | 0.215                                      | 0.272           | 0.229                     | 0.252            |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.135 | 0.113  | 0.130                                      | 0.140                                      | 0.140           | 0.140                     | 0.133            |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.204 | 0.171  | 0.200                                      | 0.233                                      | 0.221           | 0.200                     |                  |
| 0.000 | N/A   | N/A   | Play Power LT Farmington, Inc. c/o Recreation Plus | Rocky Mountain Recreation, Inc. (Option 2) | Rocky Mountain Recreation, Inc. (Option 1) | AtoZ Recreation | Churchich Recreation, LLC | STAR Playgrounds |
| 0.095 | 0.075 | 0.090 | 0.093  | 0.095                                      | 0.093                                      |                 |                           |                  |



# SUBMISSION REVIEW AND EVALUATION

Project Plan Budget Estimate:

Project Name: **PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS (VETERANS PK)**

Project Number: **RFP #19-029**

|                     |                      |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| TOTAL:              | <b>\$ 515,750.00</b> |
| COLORADO Park:      | <b>200,000.00</b>    |
| VETERNS Park:       | <b>149,000.00</b>    |
| SISTER CITIES Park: | <b>92,750.00</b>     |
| FOOTPRINT Park:     | <b>74,000.00</b>     |

**OVERVIEW**

**HISTORICAL CUSTOMER SATISFACTION**

Assessment of the supplier's comprehensive service level and their ability to meet or exceed the expectations. Willingness to continue to utilize the supplier, their goods and services provided. Demonstrated ability to offer continued quality support despite unforeseen changes in demand, product or timelines; a willingness to work with the City of Brighton to overcome obstacles and through issues.


**5%**

- 1
- 2 My answers are based on the my experiace here at the City of Brighton. I have personally worked with 4 of the 5 vendors mainley purchasing replacement parts or having the vendor place componets on exsiting playgrounds.
- 3 Proposal seemed bland with no theme. = Play Power., & Option #2 was too cheap and did not meet requirements = RMR, Inc., & Option #1 had great climbing structure but no swings that were required = RMR, Inc., & Excellent proposal & met the iconic nature needed for the site = A to Z, & Met requirements, but was not as "exciting" or iconic as others + incomplete form submittal = Churchich, & Good theme but no iconic tall structure for the site = Star Playgrounds
- 4
- 5

UP  
DOWN

TOP

UP



- +** Not Answered
- 5** Superior
- 4** Very Good
- 3** Good
- 2** Poor
- 1** Very Poor
- 0** Not Observed

**Evaluators:**

|        | Name:         | Department:          | Title:                        | Date:           |
|--------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Eval 1 | Travis Haines | Parks & Recreation   | Asst Director                 | Wed 23.Oct 2019 |
| Eval 2 | Troy Channell | Parks                | Parks Supervisor              | Mon 21.Oct 2019 |
| Eval 3 | Mark Heidt    | P&R Dept.            | Assistant Director of Parks a | Wed 23.Oct 2019 |
| Eval 4 | Gary Wardle   | Parks and Recreation | Director Parks and Recreatio  | Wed 23.Oct 2019 |
| Eval 5 |               |                      |                               |                 |
| P&C    |               | P&C                  |                               |                 |
| P&C    | Gus Blom      | P&C                  | Procurement Specialist        | Mon 30.Sep 2019 |

**SUBMISSION REVIEW AND EVALUATION**  
PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS (VETERANS PK)  
RFP #19-029