



ENERGY AND MINERAL IMPACT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM APPLICATION Tier I or Tier II

Applications Must Be Submitted Electronically - Directions on Last Page

-You are Highly Encouraged to Work with your Regional Field Manager when Completing your Application-

A. GENERAL AND SUMMARY INFORMATION

1. Name/Title of Proposed Project:	Brighton Historic Depot Rehabilitation and Adaptive Reuse
---	---

2. Applicant:	City of Brighton
----------------------	------------------

(In the case of a multi-jurisdictional application, name of the "lead" municipality, county, special district or other political subdivision).

In the case of a multi-jurisdictional application, provide the names of other directly participating political subdivisions:

N/A

3. Chief Elected Official (In the case of a multi-jurisdictional application, chief elected official of the "lead" political subdivision):

Name:	Kenneth J. Kreutzer	Title:	Mayor
Mailing Address:	500 South 4 th Avenue	Phone:	303-655-2266
City/Zip:	Brighton / 80601	Alt Phone	
E-Mail Address:	kkreutzer@brightonco.gov		

4. Designated Contact Person (will receive all mailings) for the Application:

Name:	Ryan Johnson	Title:	Executive Director of Urban Renewal
Mailing Address:	500 South 4 th Avenue	Phone:	303-655-2139 (O)
City/Zip:	Brighton / 80601	Alt Phone	
E-Mail Address:	rjohnson@brightonco.gov		

5. Amount of Energy/Mineral Impact Funds requested:

(Tier I; Up to \$200,000 or Tier II; Greater than \$200,000 to \$1,000,000)

\$700,000

6. Description of the Project Scope of Work:

(Project Description of the various tasks involved in the project including specific data such as quantities, mileage, square feet, lineal ft. etc. as well as specific project location within city and or county etc.)

We are requesting DOLA grant funding support to address the rehabilitation of a historic train depot in Brighton. The City of Brighton is under contract to acquire this building located at 269 East Bridge Street, Brighton, Colorado. The building dates back to 1907 and was the original passenger train depot in the City. This historic depot was relocated in 1980 from its original site to the current site and has remained there since that time. For decades, the depot operated as a restaurant up until 2012 when the use was changed to allow a family friendly bicycle shop. The building is now vacant and the property owner approached the City regarding acquisition of the site in early 2018. The City Council subsequently authorized negotiating with the current property owner and a resultant purchase and sale agreement has been authorized by the City Council. Staff anticipate closing on this property in the mid-late spring with anticipated renovations targeted for late summer, early fall.

Given the age of this structure, there are myriad of items that need to be updated both on the interior and the exterior of this site. The interior elements include interior restoration of the historical character of the depot, mechanical, plumbing and electrical upgrades to become compliant with current codes. Other interior work will include the tenant finish for a proposed co-working/innovation facility as well as various ADA upgrades as needed. With regard to the exterior, the roof needs to be replaced as well as the façade facing Bridge Street needs to be reworked. Other exterior elements include pedestrian connectivity on the west side, south side and east side in between the Anythink Library and the Depot going back to the Armory Performing Arts Center as well as the north side of the building. Building safety and security elements include lighting upgrades, landscape modifications, the creation of an outdoor patio, reconfiguration of the existing trash enclosure and parking lot modifications on the west side of the property.

The total project capital cost for this project is projected at \$1,725,000. We anticipate funding coming from a combination of a 41% DOLA EMIA grant of \$700,000, leaving a balance of 59%, or \$1,025,000 that the City will fund through a

combination of Lodging Tax contributions, Brighton Urban Renewal Authority contributions, General Capital Improvement Funds and may seek additional funding assistance from the Rangeview Library District over the next three to five years.

7. Description: (Describe the problem, opportunity or challenge that resulted in the request.)

Since 2009, the City by and through various community partners including the Rangeview Library District, Brighton Urban Renewal Authority and DOLA among others, have worked together to redevelop and truly begin to shape this critical campus in Brighton through investments made in The Armory and the new Anythink Library (both immediately adjacent to the Depot). The existing campus is underutilized primarily because the existing Depot remained under private ownership until this time. As such, the Depot has not been fully integrated into the master planning. There are substantial improvements needed to exterior to help integrate this Depot into the larger campus such that there is better pedestrian, bicycle and automobile circulation. These contemplated improvements will also more formally program the outdoor space so that we can more effectively plan for community events, concerts and other engaging events. Without the partnership of DOLA, many of these critical improvements to the exterior may likely not be able to be funded and the site will continue to lack integration into the campus.

8. Local priority if more than one application from the same local government (1 of 2, 2 of 2, etc.) 1 of 1

9. Is the project on a State registered historic site or in a State registered Historic District? Yes(☐) No(☒)

If yes, please provide the registry number. _____. The department **may** need to seek a determination of effect from the State Historic Society. For more on the Colorado State Register of Historic Properties, please [click here](#).

B. DEMOGRAPHIC AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION.**1. Population**

a. What was the 2010 population of the applicant jurisdiction?

33,352

b. What is the current population?

38,314

(Current/most recent conservation trust fund/lottery distribution estimate is acceptable.) What is the source of the estimate?

US Census
Bureau 2016
Population
Estimates

c. What is the population projection for the applicant in 5 years?

42,346

What is the source of the projection?

US Census
Bureau; ESRI
Forecasts**2. Financial Information (Current Year):**

In the column below labeled "Applicant" provide the financial information for the municipality, county, school district or special district directly benefiting from the application. In the columns below labeled "Entity", provide the financial information for any public entities on whose behalf the application is being submitted (if applicable).

Complete items "a through k" for ALL project types:

	Lead Applicant	Co-applicant	Co-applicant
a. Assessed Valuation (AV) Year: Most Recent	403,038,195		
b. Total Mill Levy	6.65		
c. Property Tax Revenue Generated (mill levy x AV / 1,000)	2,680,204		
d. Sales Tax (Rate/Estimated Annual Revenue)	3.75% / \$26,097,378	% / \$	% / \$
e. General Fund Budgeted Revenue	33,264,996		
f. General Fund Budgeted Expenditures	35,238,525		
g. General Fund Balance as of December 31 st of the previous year General Fund Balance:	20,227,000		
Portion of General Fund which is Unassigned ^^ (meets the definition identified in the GASB h. statement below)	7,258,123		
i. Total Budgeted Revenue (All Funds)*	88,252,957		
j. Total Budgeted Expenditures (All Funds)*	99,047,975		
k. Total Fund Balance (All Funds)*	479,906,000		
l. Total Outstanding Debt (All Funds)**	89,894,776		

* Sum of General Fund and all Special or Enterprise Funds

** Include the total outstanding liability from all multi-year debt obligations (lease purchase agreements, certificate of participation and any other debt instruments).

^^ **Unassigned fund balance** - Amounts that are available for any purpose; these amounts are reported only in the general fund and have not been committed by resolution, ordinance or contract and have not been budgeted for an intended purpose.(Click [this link](#) to locate GASB Fund Balance definitions)

For projects to be managed through a Special Fund other than the General Fund (e.g. County Road and Bridge Fund) or managed through an Enterprise Fund (e.g. water, sewer, county airport), complete items “k through o”:

Complete items “l through p” for ALL project types: Not Applicable

Identify the relevant Special Fund or Enterprise Fund:	___Fund	___Fund	___Fund
m. Special or Enterprise Fund Budgeted Revenue	\$	\$	\$
n. Special or Enterprise Fund Budgeted Expenditures	\$	\$	\$
o. Special or Enterprise Fund Outstanding Debt**	\$	\$	\$
p. Special Fund Mill Levy (if applicable)	\$	\$	\$
q. Special or Enterprise Fund Balance as of December 31 st of the previous year	\$	\$	\$

For Water and Sewer Project Only complete items “q through s”: Not Applicable

Complete items “q through s” for ALL project types:	Water	Sewer
r. Tap Fee	\$	\$
s. Average Monthly User Charge (Divide sum of annual (commercial and residential) revenues by 12 and then divide by the number of total taps served.) NOTE: Commercial and Residential Combined	\$	\$
t. Number of total Taps Served by Applicant		

** Include the total outstanding liability from all multi-year debt obligations (lease purchase agreements, certificate of participation and any other debt instruments).

C. PROJECT BUDGET. List expenditures and sources of revenue for the project. The totals on each side of the ledger must equal.

Expenditures		Sources of Revenue (Dollar for Dollar Cash Match is Required, unless financial circumstance warrants a reduction)			Funding Committed
List Budget Line Items (Examples: architect, engineering, construction, equipment items, etc.)		List the sources of matching funds and indicate either cash or documentable in-kind contribution. Total revenue must equal total expenditures			List Yes or No next to each line item
Line Item Expenditures	Line Item Costs		Cash	In-Kind	
Site Acquisition	\$625,000	Energy/Mineral Impact Fund Grant Request	\$700,000		No
Professional services (civil engineers, landscape architects, building architecture, construction documents)	\$75,000	*Energy/Mineral Impact Fund Loan Request (If applicable)			No
Interior Rehabilitation	\$135,000	Site Acquisition (City of Brighton, Brighton Urban Renewal Authority, Lodging Tax as sources)	\$625,000		Yes
Exterior Building Rehabilitation	\$65,000	Lodging Tax	\$125,000		Yes
Depot Landscaping	\$50,000	City General Capital Improvement Funds	\$275,000		Yes
Signage	\$20,000				
Site Improvements (sidewalks, Bridge Street modifications etc.)	\$655,000				
Artwork	\$25,000				
Pedestrian Bridge Improvements	\$10,000				
Legal, Permit Fees	\$20,000				
Trash Enclosure Relocation	\$15,000				
Contingency	\$30,000				
TOTAL	\$1,725,000	TOTAL	\$1,725,000	\$	

Please attach a more detailed budget if available

(If the request is for planning, engineering or design, the following two questions may not be applicable)

1. Please identify the contingency associated with the project budget.
 - a. Contingency Dollar value \$ 30,000
 - b. Contingency % of Budget. 1.74%
 - c. If a contingency has not been identified as part of the budget, please explain why not? N/A .
2. How recently was the budget and contingency determined for this project (month/year)? February 2018.

D. PROJECT INFORMATION.

The statutory purpose of the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance program is to provide financial assistance to “political subdivisions socially or economically impacted by the development, processing or energy conversion of minerals and mineral fuels.”

1. Demonstration of Need:**a. Why is the project needed at this time?**

The project is needed at this time as this building is currently sitting vacant. As such, the City is under contract to acquire this property for the purpose of rehabilitating the site and including it more fully into the already existing adjacent land uses. Continuing to allow this building to sit without these renovations may result in continued deterioration resulting in even higher rehabilitation costs to the building and the site.

b. How does the implementation of this project address the need?

This site is the last remaining component on this campus that has not been rehabilitated. The Armory and the Anything Library were completed in the 2009-2010 timeframe through partnership in part with DOLA. The implementation of this project allows the City to address the myriad of issues with this site.

c. Does this project, as identified in this application, completely address the stated need? If not, please describe additional work or phases and the estimated time frame. Do you anticipate requesting Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance funds for future phases?

No, while we do not anticipate requesting additional EMIA funds, there will still be a small financial gap that the City intends to fund through its General Capital Improvement Program as well as use of Lodging Tax funds.

d. What other implementation options have been considered?

We considered a five year phasing approach with this project and quickly realized that doing so would be too difficult to keep going back each year to incrementally complete more elements. This is primarily an issue as many of the exterior improvements overlap on top of each other which will result in us tearing our parts of improvements that are made one year just to make other improvements for a future year.

e. What are the consequences if the project is not awarded funds?

We would need to defer this project until sufficient funds become available.

2. Measurable Outcomes:

a. Describe measurable outcomes you expect to see when implementation of this project is complete. How will the project enhance the livability* of your region, county, city, town or community (e.g. constructing a new water plant will eliminate an unsafe drinking water system and provide safe and reliable drinking water; the construction of a new community center will provide expanded community services, or projects achieving goals regarding energy conservation, community heritage, economic development/diversification, traffic congestion, etc.)?

***(Livability means increasing the value and/or benefit in the areas that are commonly linked in community development such as jobs, housing, transportation, education, emergency mitigation, health and environment)**

Completion of this project will reduce blight and the common nuisances associated with blight. These concerns continue to include public safety, loitering, vandalism, safety at night, homeless activity. This has been and continues to be a common goal of the City especially in the downtown core area of the City to address these concerns.

b. How will the outcome of the project be measured to determine whether the anticipated benefits to this population actually occur?

By having a tenant in this building on a regular basis, who will activate the interior and exterior and draw families, this will naturally deter some of the unsavory acts that are currently going on. Further, this project will allow the City to work to more effectively program the outdoor portion of this space with events, concerts, mobile vendors etc.

c. Does this project preserve and protect a registered state historic building, facility or structure? If yes, please describe. Year of construction: 1907_

No. This building is not designated. Although it is not designated, our desire is to preserve the historical context and character of this building because it is a critical component of Brighton's past.

d. Will this project implement an energy efficiency/strategy that could result in less carbon footprint or conserve energy use or capitalize on renewable energy technology? If yes, please describe.

Yes. Per the City's adopted Sustainability Management Plan, our goal is to complete every project with a mindset of resiliency. This includes items like local preference for contractors, local sourcing of materials and using materials that have a lower impact on the carbon footprint on the environment than traditional building materials.

e. Will the project be constructed with “Resiliency Framework”, which is to build and construct with a plan to reduce risks by utilizing materials and constructing in areas to better withstand natural or man-made disasters, etc.? If yes, please describe.

No. This project is an existing structure that is intended to be adaptively reused.

3. Relationship to Community Goals

a. Is the project identified in the applicant's budget or a jurisdictionally approved plan (e.g. capital improvement plan,

equipment replacement plan, comprehensive plan, utility plan, road maintenance and improvement plan or other local or regional strategic management or planning document)? What is its ranking?

The project identified contains elements and thus, funding sources that were specifically authorized by the voters in Brighton for said uses and projects. While no specific location was identified, projects that promote culture, tourism and economic development are the principal objectives for using a significant portion of the funding from the Lodging Tax. The balance of the project would be funded through the General Capital Improvement Program but would have to scaled back to just the interior remodeling in the absence of DOLA funding.

4. Local Commitment and Ability to Pay/Local Effort

a. Why can't this project be funded locally?

A project of this scope has many components to it and therefore a high cost of implementation. As such, we have already brought together the City, the Brighton Urban Renewal Authority, the Brighton Economic Development Corporation, the Lodging Tax Advisory Committee and the Rangeview Library District as partners. Even still, there is a significant gap where DOLA can play a meaningful role.

b. Has this project been deferred because of lack of local funding? If so, how long?

This is a relatively new project as this site had not been previously under the control of the City.

c. Explain the origin of your local cash match. (Note: Whenever possible, local government cash match on a dollar for dollar match basis is encouraged.) Are the local funds committed or pending? If there are pending funds, when will the status of those funds be determined?

The local cash match in the form of the building acquisition is committed from the City, BURA and pending from the Lodging Tax Advisory Committee. The cash match for interior remodeling is committed through the City's General Improvement Program and the City's initial investment will be recuperated over time through a market rate lease between the City of Brighton and the Brighton Economic Development Corporation. The commitment for that lease has already taken place and a letter of intent has been submitted.

d. What other community entities, organizations, or stakeholders recognize the value of this project and are collaborating with you to achieve increased livability of the community? Please describe how your partners are contributing to achieve the improvement to the livability of the community through this project. If in-kind contributions are included in the project budget, detailed tracking will be required on project monitoring report.

The Brighton Urban Renewal Authority, Rangeview Library District, Lodging Tax Advisory Committee have all committed as partners. At this time the library district is exploring ways to contribute either in-kind or as cash match, though nothing has been determined as of yet.

i. Please describe the level of commitment by each collaborator. (e.g. fee waivers, in-kind services, fundraising, direct monetary contribution, policy changes.)

BURA is contributing cash for the initial purchase of the building. Lodging Tax is contributing towards the initial acquisition cost plus part of the interior tenant finish costs. Rangeview Library District has committed staff time to help program the exterior space and is explore funding opportunities as well. The Brighton Economic Development Corporation will contribute as the primary tenant, paying a market rate lease and coordinating the co-working and innovation space.

ii. Please list the value of the resources that each collaborator is bringing to the program.

City of Brighton – 20% of initial building purchase costs
BURA – 30% of the initial building purchase costs
Lodging Tax – 50% of the initial building purchase costs
City of Brighton - \$275,000 towards interior tenant finish (to be repaid by the EDC)
Lodging Tax - \$125,000 for interior tenant finish

e. Has the applicant dedicated the financial resources in their current budget, reserve funds and/or unused debt capacity that are being used for the local matching funds? Explain if No

Yes.

f. Have the applicant's tax rates, user charges or fees been reviewed recently to address funding for the proposed project?

Yes, this is one of the contributors as to why the EDC will be paying a market rate lease.

g. If the tax rate, user charges or fees were modified, what was the modification and when did this change occur?

This was part of the initial deal negotiations from the beginning.

h. Has the applicant contacted representatives from local energy or mineral companies to discuss the project? If yes, when was the contact and what was discussed.

This project will not directly impact the local energy or mineral company's employees, services or customers and as such, the City did not contact them to see if they would be interested in supplementing the funding for this project.

i. Has the applicant requested financial support from the industry? If yes, when was the contact, what amount did you request? What were the results? If no, why not?

No.

5. Readiness to Go

a. Assuming this project is funded as requested, how soon will the project begin? **Select One** (X) Within 3 months, () 3-6 months, () 6-9 months or () 9-12 months? What is the time frame for completion? **Select One** () Within 3 months, () 3-6 months, () 6-9 months, () 9-12 months or () >12 months.

b. Describe how you determined that the project can be completed within the proposed budget as outlined in this application?

Staff engaged the services of a professional architect for all of the initial visioning and budget preparation. If awarded, staff would then solicit proposals to begin the construction documents and submit those for permitting. In using the services from the architect previously, Staff have realized that the numbers contained in the high level budgets have been realistic.

c. Has the necessary planning and design been completed? How? What additional design work remains? How did the applicant develop project cost estimates? Are any or permitting must still be completed, if any? When? How did the applicant develop project cost estimates? Is the project supported by bids, professional estimates or other credible information? Please attach a copy of any supporting documents.

The initial architectural renderings and visions has been completed. If awarded, Staff would engage a general contractor for the various construction documents and then submit those for planning and building department review.

i. What additional design work remains?

The initial visioning needs to be taken down and converted into the actual construction documents based on the proposed phasing.

ii. How did the applicant develop project cost estimates?

The cost estimates were developed through the architect who provided the visioning and renderings of how to program the site. This architect does significant work in the affordable housing realm with a myriad of smaller projects like the Depot.

iii. Is the project supported by bids, professional estimates or other credible information? Please attach a copy of any supporting documents.

No bids have been solicited as of yet as the building had not been under our control.

iv. Are any Local, State or Federal permits required before the project can proceed? If yes, please describe.

Local building and site development permits will be required as with any construction project. There is an existing lease between the City and the Union Pacific Railroad for the use of the parking lot on the west side of the property that will need to be modified as some of the adjustments to the vehicular circulation involve modifying this lease.

6. Energy & Mineral Relationship

a. Describe how the applicant is, has been, or will be impacted by the development, production, or conversion of energy and mineral resources.

Gravel mining extraction along South Platte creates road wear challenges from heavy trucks and other vehicles using City of Brighton roads.

Two businesses located in the City limits, BJ Services - a subsidiary of Baker Hughes, and FMC Technologies have facilities in the City. They have heavy truck/vehicle traffic that creates significant wear and tear on Brighton streets, and increases demand for other public safety services.

Brighton is also a heavy vehicle drive-through for other surrounding Adams and Weld County oil and gas extraction companies and their suppliers, traffic that further strains our streets and public safety services.

Brighton has also been impacted significantly by truck traffic due to recent oil and gas activity with fracking sites in or around the city limits.

b. To further document the impact in the area, name the company or companies involved, the number of employees ([click to get # of employees](#)) associated with the activities impacting the jurisdiction and other relevant, quantitative indicators of energy/mineral impact.

Baker Hughes employs 390 individuals and FMC Technologies currently employs 70 individuals in Brighton. We do not have the numbers of employees at the other energy service companies that have offices and/or facilities in the City, including Anadarko and Select Energy Services.

c. Cite actual use data that documents direct impact as it relates to the need for the project. For example, "heavy truck traffic directly related to energy development activities is impacting County Road X. a traffic count done in May 2015 showed energy related truck traffic increased from 100 trips per day to 300."

Information was pulled from City of Brighton business licenses.

7. Management Capacity

a. How will you separate and track expenditures, maintain funds and reserves for the capital expenditures and improvements as described in this project?

In addition to overall project management, Staff will require that the chosen general contractor maintain the proper documentation on the expenditures such that costs can be controlled in a real-time environment.

b. Describe the funding plan in place to address the new operating and maintenance expenses generated from the project?

This property is in our portfolio of properties that are insured and will be maintained by our in-house staff. Additionally, the tenant will be responsible for most daily upkeep as they are going to be the primary occupants of this building.

c. Describe the technical and professional experience/expertise of the person(s) and/or professional firms responsible to manage this project.

As the Executive Director for Urban Renewal and also the primary contact for public policy in Brighton, the project manager has substantial experience managing small and large scale redevelopment and rehabilitation projects. Such projects have included the initial redevelopment of South Main Street, as well as the downtown plaza as well as facilitating other private redevelopment efforts in the City.

d. Does the project duplicate service capacity already established? Is the service inadequate? Has consolidation of services with another provider been considered?

This project does not duplicate service capacity. This will be new services offered with new programming opportunities for the exterior of the site and overall campus. By having the EDC as a primary tenant, this will free up space in the nearby Historic City Hall for the City to backfill with other operation departments as we have been outgrowing our space at City Hall.

F. TABOR COMPLIANCE.

1. Does the applicant jurisdiction have voter authorization to receive and expend state grants without regard to TABOR spending limitations? Yes(☐) No(☐). If yes, explain:

Yes. Note November 2015 TABOR election in which the public approved the City retaining and expending all revenues. Approved ballot issue also allows the City to retain and expend all future and prior 4-year revenues.

2. If the applicant jurisdiction receives a grant with State Severance funds, will the local government exceed the TABOR limit and force a citizen property tax rebate? Yes(☐) No(☐). Explain.

Not applicable based on the November 2015 election.

3. Has the applicant jurisdiction been subject to any refund under TABOR or statutory tax limitations? Yes(☐) No(☒). Explain.

4. Has the applicant sought voter approval to keep revenues above fiscal spending limits? Yes(☐) No(☐). Explain.

Yes. Note November 2015 TABOR election in which the public approved the City retaining and expending all revenues. Approved ballot issue also allows the City to retain and expend all future and prior 4-year revenues.

5. Are there any limitations to the voter approved revenues? (e.g., Can revenues only be spent on law enforcement or roads?) Yes(☐) No(☐). Explain.

No – see above.

6. If the applicant jurisdiction is classified as an enterprise under TABOR, will acceptance of a state grant affect this status? Yes(☐) No(☐). Explain.

Not applicable.

G. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.

Indicate below whether any of the proposed project activities:

1. Will be undertaken in flood hazard areas. Yes(☐) No(☒

List flood plain maps/studies reviewed in reaching this conclusion. Describe alternatives considered and mitigation proposed.

Not applicable

2. Will the project affect historical, archeological or cultural resources, or be undertaken in a geological hazard area. Yes(☐) No(☒

If yes, describe alternatives considered and mitigation proposed.

Not applicable

3. Address any other public health or safety related concerns? Describe. Yes(☐) No(☐

This project will address pedestrian and automobile safety in and around the vicinity as well as improving the public health, safety and welfare for the entire campus by deterring unsavory activities on the site.

APPLICATION SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS AND OFFICIAL BOARD ACTION DATE (REQUIRED)

Application and attachments must be submitted electronically in

WORD .DOC (Preferred) or .PDF Format (Unsecured) to:

ImpactGrants@state.co.us

Please Cc your [DOLA Regional Manager](#) all documents as well to ensure receipt.

In email subject line include: Applicant Local Government name and Tier for which you are applying

-example- **Subject:** Springfield County EIAF Grant Request, Tier 1

NOTE: Please do not submit a scanned application (scanned attachments ok).

(If you are unable to submit electronically please contact your [DOLA Regional Manager](#))

For any questions related to the electronic submission process, please call Leah Smith @ 303.864.7757

Attachments List (Check and submit the following documents, if applicable):

- ▶ Preliminary Engineering Reports N/A
- ▶ Architectural Drawings X
- ▶ Cost Estimates X
- ▶ Detailed Budget X
- ▶ Map showing location of the project X
- ▶ Attorney's TABOR decision Not needed given the November 2015 approved ballot issue.
- ▶ HPCP Registration, modification
Or Waiver Form A Colorado High Performance Certification Program (HPCP)
registration, modification or waiver form is not needed at this
time.

Official Board Action taken on

Date

**Submission of this form indicates official action by the applicant's governing board
authorizing application for these funds.**