

E-470 Hazardous Material Route Designation

City Council Study Session - December 11, 2018

City Staff Representative: Mike Tylka, AICP, Senior Planner



 Strong Regional Relationships and Partnerships



 Safe, Active, and Engaged Community





 Direction of City Council at the October 23rd Study Session regarding the potential designation of E-470 as a Hazardous Material ("HazMat") Route was to provide additional information.

- Staff is looking for direction as to whether or not the City Council wishes to support the HazMat designation of E-470.
- The E-470 Public Highway Authority ("the Authority") has reached out to its member jurisdictions to start the designation process.



 Process is regulated by the Colorado State Patrol.

- The Authority and CDOT are willing to assist with the process.
- A HazMat route analysis must be completed before a petition can be filed.






Criteria to Consider

- Colorado Revised Statutes Title 42, 'Vehicles and Traffic', Article 20, 'Transportation of Hazardous and Nuclear Materials', outlines the procedures for the route designation for hazardous materials.
- The Authority itself is not eligible to directly petition the Patrol for designation.
- The Authority's member jurisdictions are empowered to petition the Patrol for those portions of the highway that lie within their respective jurisdictions.



Summary of Findings / Staff Analysis


- Current Brighton and nearby routes
- HazMat haulers
- Potential sections of designation





Summary of Findings / Staff Analysis

 Two railroad lines running through Brighton



Base Map Source: Denver Railroads



Summary of Findings / Staff Analysis

- Four jurisdictions in favor
 - Adams and Douglas Counties, Parker, and Thornton
- One jurisdiction opposed
 - Aurora
- Three jurisdictions have yet to respond
 - Brighton, Commerce City, and Arapahoe County




Western Adams County Municipal Jurisdictions



Staff Analysis

 Brighton Fire Rescue District's position

9 Mile Emergency Service Area as Set by an IGA Between the Fire District and the Authority






E-470 in Relation to Brighton's Comprehensive Plan Areas

Staff Analysis

- Review of Area Comprehensive Plan Designations
 - Agriculture
 - Commercial
 - Downtown
 - Employment Commercial
 - Employment Industrial
 - Estate Residential
 - High Density Residential
 - Industrial
 - Local District Mixed Use
 - Low Density Residential
 - Medium Density Residential
 - Mixed Use Commercial
 - 🗸 Mixed Use Residential
 - Natural Resource Conservation
 - Parks Open Space
 - Public Land



Base Map Source: Brighton GIS



Summary of Findings / Staff Analysis

Pros:

- An alternative transportation route for hazardous materials may reduce the hauling that is occurring on local roadways and get the vehicles on to designated routes more quickly.
- > The additional route option for haulers may help avoid more densely populated areas in the region.
- ➤ The Fire District has stated they support the petition and are able to serve the additional route.



Summary of Findings / Staff Analysis

Cons:

- Adds an additional HazMat route to the City (the City already has two roadways and two railroads that have been designated as such).
- > Brighton may be taking on more than its fair share of HazMat designated routes as compared to other communities in the region.
- > At this point in time, it is unknown as to how much additional traffic this will create or reroute.
- > There is a possibility of fire and rescue operational issues not being properly addressed.
- > Uncertain impact on economic development opportunities and prospects in the area.
- ➤ Uncertain impact on desirability of undeveloped land designated in the Comprehensive Plan as High Density Residential, Mixed-Use Commercial, and Employment Commercial. Additionally, may also impact the ability to build-out as a DRCOG Urban Activity Center.
- Uncertain impact on the Local District Mixed Use designation areas.
- Uncertain impact on existing and future residents of the area in regards to health, safety and welfare.
- Uncertain impact on staff time and resources, particularly of the Police Department.
- Additional unintended consequences of designation in a fast growing area.
- Uncertainty as to if the designation could be rescinded in the future.



Staff Recommendation

• City staff is not making a formal recommendation to City Council at this time as it has numerous unanswered concerns.



 A formal vote would need to occur for the City to issue a letter of support or opposition.

 If Council provides direction that they are generally in support or opposed to the designation, City staff will schedule the item for an upcoming City Council meeting, provide a draft Resolution, and provide a draft letter in support or in opposition of designation.